

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**  
**SHREVEPORT DIVISION**

**WILLIAM J. CASH**                           **CIVIL ACTION NO. 09-512-P**  
**VERSUS**                                       **JUDGE HICKS**  
**JERRY GOODWIN, ET AL.**                   **MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY**

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

In accordance with the standing order of this Court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

**STATEMENT OF CLAIM**

Before the Court is a civil rights complaint filed in forma pauperis by pro se plaintiff William J. Cash (“Plaintiff”), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this Court on April 1, 2009. Plaintiff is incarcerated at the David Wade Correctional Center in Homer, Louisiana. He names Jerry Goodwin, Ray Hanson, Lonnie Nail, Brad Rogers, Jackie Hamil, Antonio Turner, Earl Benson, Mayor Jane Doe, Timothy Q. Williams and John Martin as defendants.

Plaintiff was ordered on June 12, 2009, to furnish the Clerk of Court in Shreveport, Louisiana, within thirty (30) days after service of that order, one (1) copy of the complaint and two (2) completed summonses for each defendant in this action, for

service. [Doc. 6]. To date, Plaintiff has not complied with the Court's memorandum order.

Accordingly;

**IT IS RECOMMENDED** that this complaint be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the Court and under the Court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

### **OBJECTIONS**

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have ten (10) business days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within ten (10) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking, on appeal, the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court

and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

**THUS DONE AND SIGNED**, in chambers, at Shreveport, Louisiana, on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2009.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARK L. HORNSBY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE